Previous references.

K-35-13.
(-12)
Later references.

1914-5
(Box 4)

File No. 15—14.
Serial Nos. 1-5

Preserve

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—5

Part B. for July 1914.

SUBJECT

Civil Suit by the inamdar of Hulal Village against Govt. for the recovery of the value of some Sandalwood trees.

1. From the Conservator of Forests
No 13628/14. 7. 14.
Requests sanction to defend a Civil Suit against Govt. brought in the 1st Munsiff's Court, Bangalore, for the recovery of Rs 25 being the value of Sandalwood trees alleged to have been removed from the Forests of Hulal Village by Govt.

GPB—4,000—1-7-11

2 To The Commissioners of Taxes
No 2 [illegible]
Called for a copy of the Assessment

3 From The Same Office
No 576 of 17. 7. 16
Submits Copy of the Assessment
Mr Pearson Correspondence

4 To The Stamp Office
No 25319 — 6 of 22. 7. 16
Can return the deficient Stamp
at foot Con- of for 4s and a receipt
for letter & a Remand.

5. From the Same Office
No 734 of 20. 7. 16
States that the Summons of the
Case has been adjourned to the
20th Inst.
Ack- of 20. 7. 16. From the Commissioners
to the Effect that the Assessment
Appeal has been Confirmed &
Stamp & Agreement
To of No 724 of 20. 7. 16
Make [illegible] the Ac- [illegible]
[illegible] to the [illegible]

G.R.S. 11] 1918 & 18

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Forest)

NOTES.

File No. 15-14

FILE HEADING.

Civil suit by the Devandai of Hulkal Village against Govt for the recovery of the value of some Ragi crop.

From

The Conservator of Forests Mysore.

No. 13628 Dated 4.7.1918. Received

Diary No. 168	Enclos. 2 Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests sanction orders to defend a civil suit against Govt brought in the Munsiffs Court Bangalore by the Pujaridar of Hulkalli Village Bangalore dist for the recovery of Rs 125 being the value of some Ragi crop alleged to have been removed by the Forest Dept from the forest lands.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Govt Legl orders on the letter:-

"I Put up with draft sanctioning" Sd/- H. L. 4.7.18.

Sd/- & draft is endorsed.

2 A copy of the Plaint should have been

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15 6-12.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 18 of 191 4

D

Serial No.

4665-74 q 25. 9. 1888 E
Ci 137

Submitted, as required by the standing order, to enable Govt to consult the Govt Advocate, if necessary, whether the suit can be successfully defended, & if so, on what lines, & whether the draft written statement indicates the lines on the proper form.
As it is, the written statement does not appear to have been properly drawn up.

I ~~One~~ the most important issue likely to arise in the case is as to the ownership of the trees in question. Judging from the facts mentioned in one of the previous letters of the Govt of Facts, the Plaintiff's claim cannot altogether be summarily rejected. At one time the Govt Dept appear to have offered ~~some~~ half the sale proceeds of the trees to the Inamdar. It seems, therefore necessary to call for the Dist Surveyor's report referred to in the D. O. has been, and the sketch proposed to be filed and any other correspondence on the subject between the Inamdar & the Dept, particularly the letter stated to have been given to him on 31.1.14.

Vide Pt 35-13.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-8-12.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 15 of 191 4

Serial No. 3

From the Com[r] of Lands in Mysore.
No 586 of 17.7.16.

Submits copy of the Plaint & the previous correspondence.

Q.

Read 3.30 pm 1717

Rob.

Q The Seegekayi Creepers, the Crop of which forms the bone of Contention, have their roots in a foot halla which borders on the Hulkal inam Village & separates it from the Mallahara-halli Plantation. The Creepers have however, spread on either side of the halla & encroaching on the Inam land on one of its sides.

(6) In the suit referred to in the Plaint, the merits of the Case was were not gone into, but an exparte decree passed. The fact of such a decree having been passed came to the knowledge of Govt only when it was too late to have any relief and the O.T.C. was made to satisfy the decree himself. The decree Case does not, therefore, affect any Inamdar Coffee any right of the Plaintiff.

Well placed at Chikkamagalur

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—10,000—13-6-14

G. R. S. 12] 586

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 11[st] of 1914.

Serial No.

16 The suit may be defended & since the case comes on for hearing day after tomorrow I have prepared a written statement & engrossed it on the Court paper myself in order that it may be presented without any further delay.

18.7.14 Govt Advocate

17 Let draft be adopted

18.7.14 Dy Secy

18.7.14

18 Issue, & subt to Dy Secy

18.7.14

19 On second thought, it seems to me better that the order shd issue with the Dy Secy's appl. Please subt it to him. Together with the facts of the

18.7.14

20 Draft may be approved.

21. The order to prevent another

I have written d.o. to the Offg Govt Advocate requesting him to take a short adjt in case orders do not reach him in time.

18.7.14

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—10,000—13-6-14

G. R. S. 12] 596

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 15 of 1914. (6

Serial No.

Please floq draft for appl 20/7/14

Disputes with the Jordan boundary it is desirable to have the Sushin officially adjusted. It is a pity that Mr. Sitaramiah permitted the first case to come off by default

2nd member

20/7/14 WR

20/7/14

22. Yes. This long-standing dispute must be terminated as early as practicable

20/7 WR 21/7

23. Issued

21/7 WR 21/7/14

B/Sons

4 To the Govt of Fmrs & the Comptroller for. No 539-40 of 22.7.14.

Sanctions the defence of the Dirkal Fort Govt to the engaging the Public Prosecutor Bangalore & defend the Case & forwards a revised written Statement.

6 From the Govt of Fmrs No 734 of 20.7.14. States that the Case hearing of the Case has been adjourned to the 20th inst August

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—10,000—13-6-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 15 of 1914.

Serial No.	
	Do letter No 9 20.7.14 from the Commr to the Effect that his Personal Work has been asked to obtain short adjournment.
	Do. letter for the Personal Work 726 9 20.7. stating that the hearing has been fixed to 26th Aug:
	24 filed for perusal.
	25. Release may now be fixed
	25/7/14
	25/7/14

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—10,000—13-6-14

Urgent

Rev: Forests

OFFICE OF THE CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS IN MYSORE,

No. 13628 *Bangalore, dated* ..... 4th July *191* 4.

Enclosures 2

DIARY No. 48

19/4/1918
SECTION Fourth
FILE No. 15-14
SERIAL No. 1

From

M. G. Rama Rao Esquire, B.A.,

~~RAO BAHADUR M. MUTHANNA, ESQ.,~~

Offg. *Conservator of Forests in Mysore.*

To

D. M. Narasinga Rao Esq. B.A., B.L,

Offg. *Secretary to the Government of*
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Referring to Government Order No. R 3332-3/ Ft 35-13-8 dated the 4th November last, I have the honour to state that P. Munisawmi Raju, Jodidar of Hullal Village, -- being encouraged by the exparte decree passed in his favour by the Sub Judge of Bangalore in small cause suit No. 875 of 1910-11, has again filed a suit in the First Munsiff's court, Bangalore, claiming with interest and cost, a sum of Rs 125/- being the supposed value of the seegekayi produce of the Jodi Village alleged to have been collected by the District Forest Officer, Bangalore, during the three Calendar years 1911, 1912 and 1913. The case had been posted for hearing on 1st June 1914, but the District Forest Officer, Bangalore, has requested the Munsiff to adjurn the case to a future date to enable him to obtain the orders of Govern-ment for defending the suit.

2.

GPB—800—19-3-14

First Munsiff Court, Bangalore,

Original Suit No. 408 of 1913-14.

Plaintiff- P. Munisawmi Raju.

Defendant - The District Forest Officer, Bangalore.

The Defendent begs to state as follows:-

1. That the small cause suit No. 875 of 1910-11, on the file of the Sub Judge, Bangalore, ended in an ex-parte decree as it was not properly enquired into and decided on the merits of the case. That the Sub Judge who promised to inspect the spot and then proceed with the case, did not do so at all and that he granted an ex-parte decree in favour of the plaintiff on a date which was not intimated either to the Range Officer, Bangalore, who had been ordered to defend the case in question or to the --- District Forest Officer.

2. That the Plaintiff himself accedds to the fact -- that the seegekayi crop was either not collected or leased out by the Forest Department during the years 1911,1912, and 1913. That, if the Plaintiff was the real owner of the Seegekayi climbers in question, there was no necessity for the issue of aay.-- notification as to the ownership, as alleged by him. He was at liberty to collect the crops if he thought he was the owner.

3. That the Advocate of the Plaintiff, when asked in District Forest Officer's No. 2301 dated 12th December 1913, to send the copy of the order issued by the District Forest Officer prohibiting the plaintiff from collecting the said produce, replied in his letter dated 19th December 1913, that such an order did not appear to exist at all.

4. That the fact that the spot on which the Seegekayi

climbers stand is Government land as the accompanying sketches will show. That the seege plants in question are about 10 links away from the limits of the Hullal Village and are situated in a halla running between the Hullal Village and the --- Government Plantation (Mallathhalli) The halla and all rights in or over the same belong to Government, as defined in Section 36 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code, and as such the ownership of the climbers cannot be claimed by the Plaintiff. The fact that the climbers have spread over the adjoining portion of the lands of the said village does not entitle Plaintiff to the produce in question.

That the value of the produce claimed is excessive.

Sd/- M. G. Rama Rao,
District Forest Officer, Bangalor
District.

The statements made above are true to the best of mv knowledge.

Sd/- M. G. Rama Rao.,
District Forest Officer, Bangalore,
District.

" C O P Y "

Offg. Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

T.V.V.

Copy of docket No. 966 dated the 3rd June 1914 from the District Forest Officer, Bangalore District, to the Conservator of Forests in Mysore, Bangalore (Hno' Defy Conr)

Adverting to his No. 7539 dated the 21st January 1914, has the honour to state that the Kayamguttedar's --- Advocate was replied to in this Office No. 2929 dated 31st January 1914, in terms of Conservator's instructions contained in No. 7222 dated the 13th idem.

As regards para 2 of the reference under advertence, begs to submit herewith, for orders, the District Surveyor's report dated 30th ultimo, with a sketch defining the limits of the Kayamgutta and Government lands, receiving with Deputy Commissioner's No. 877 dated the 30th --idem. From a perusal of the sketch, it will be seen that the creepers in question, lie outside the limits of Hullal Village and that the branches have spread over only a portion of the lands of the said village. The halla running between the Kayamgutta and Government lands and all rights in or over the same belong to Government, as defined in -- Section Article 36 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code and as such, the Kayamguttadar does not appear to be entitled to the produce in question. A copy of the sketch of the original survey of Survey No. 77, received from the Survey Department, is -- also enclosed for kind perusal,

As the Kayamguttadar has filed a suit for Rs 125/- being the value of the Seegakai crop of the year 1913, and issued summons which was forwarded with Conservator's memo No. 11780 dated 12th May 1914, the undersigned requests that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained

Civil suit

7.

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 15-14

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 68

Immediate

No. R 235

General and Revenue Secretariat

(First)

, the *191* .

To

The Court of Asst.

Sir,

Date of issue 8-7-1916

Signed by M. V. Ramakrao

With reference to your letter No B628 of 4.7.1916 requesting sanction for the defence of a civil suit brought against one O. Jo Bangalore Dist by one Jodidar of Hulkuli village in the First Munsiff's Court at Bangalore, for the recovery of Rs 125/- being the value of some Sandalwood etc., I am directed to request you

over.

SUBJECT.

to submit a copy of
the Minute recorded on the Case, together with
the Sketch referred to
in the draft written Statement,
and all the correspondence
bearing on the subject
including the Draft Telegram
from the Communication
that passed between the F.O.
and Madrid.

2. The date of the copy
bearing away number
intended

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

C.P.O. 29,000 1-14

Urgent

9.

I
17/7
X

Rev. Forests

OFFICE OF THE CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS IN MYSORE,

No. 566 *Bangalore, dated* ..... 17th July 1914. 596

DIARY No. 596

Enclosures 1 file

1914-1915
SECTION Forest
FILE NO. 15-14
SERIAL NO. 3

From

M. G. Rama Rao Esquire, B.A.
RAO BAHADUR M. MUTHANNA, ESQ.,

Offg . *Conservator of Forests in Mysore.*

To

D.M. Narasinga Rao Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Offg . *Secretary to the Government of*
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

In reply to your letter No. R 335/ Ft 15-14-12 dated 8th July 1914 regarding Hullal Seegekai case, in the -- Bangalore District, I have the honour to forward herewith in original docket No. 15 dated 11th July 1914 from the District Forest Officer, Bangalore, together with its enclosures furnish -ing all the information and papers called for in the above and to state that as the date of haring has been fixed to the 20th instant, very early orders may be passed in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Conservator of Forests.

T.V.V.

GPB—800—19-3-14

G.R.S. 28]

2/7

596

Diary No. of letter replied to

File No. 15-14
Serial No. 4

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

, *the* 191 .

READ—

Letter no 13628
4.7.1914 from the Com^r of Police
re Degree requesting sanction
for ~~his~~ defending a civil suit
against the D.C., Bangalore

ORDER THEREON.—No.

Suit instituted in the First
Munsiff's court at Bangalore,
by M^r P. Munisami Raju, Godda
of Hulkal Village, Bangalore
Taluk, for the recovery of a

over.

Date of issue 22-7-1914

Signed by Mr. N. Rama Rao

SUBJECT.

DIARY No. 684

18 -18
SECTION
FILE No.
SERIAL No.

H 15.14-18

13

Camp Tarikere,

20th July 1914.

Dear Mr Rama Rao,

Your D.O. No 16 dated the 18th instant to hand today. I have wired to the District Forest Officer, Bangalore, to get a short adjournment in the Seegekayi Creeper case, and my Personal Assistant will intimate the result to you immediately.

Yours sincerely,

DIARY No. 677

19 -19

SECTION

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

No 15-19 14

Rd 20/7/14

urgent

No: 726

Conservator's Office,

BANGALORE,

20th July 1914.

Dear Sir,

Referring to the correspondence ending with this Office letter No. 536 dated the 17th instant soliciting the sanction of Government for the defence of a Civil Suit brought against the District Forest Officer, Bangalore by the Jodidar of Hullalli Village, I hasten to inform you that the hearing of the said case which was previously fixed on this date has since been adjourned to the 20th August 1914. An official report will also be made in this behalf.

Yours Sincerely,

M Shankara Narasappa

Personal Asst. to the Conservator of Forests.

V. Rama Rao Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Assistant Secretary to Government,

General and Revenue Departments.